

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington. DC 20231

[	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
•	08/916.106	08721797	POHLMANN	R	

MM41/0120

STRIKER STRIKER & STENBY 103 EAST NECK ROAD **HUNTINGTON NY 11743** 

		EXA	MINER
Mini	AME	7	

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2856

DATE MAILED:

01/20/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No. Applicant(s)
	Application No. OS/9/6/06 Applicant(s) Police
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit
	Ism Noland 2856
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address
Period for Response	/
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE
from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, be	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTH days, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	71/97
☐ This action is FINAL.	
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance ex accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,</li> </ul>	cept for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s) 29-49	is/are pending in the application.
, ·	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
Coloim(a)	
	is/are objected to.
24-49	is/are objected to are subject to restriction or election
	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	requirement.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson Draftsperso	awing Review, PTO-948.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	awing Review, PTO-948 is  approved  disapproved.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are o	awing Review, PTO-948 is  approved  disapproved.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are o  The drawing(s) filed on is/are o  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	awing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of	awing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are o  The drawing(s) filed on is/are o  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	awing Review, PTO-948 isapproved disapproved.  objected to by the Examiner.  er.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  os of the priority documents have been
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priori All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copie Treceived.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No.	awing Review, PTO-948 isapproved disapproved.  objected to by the Examiner.  er.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). as of the priority documents have been  umber) e International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of the specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prioricy All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. Treceived in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Note that the received in this national stage application from the received copies not received:	awing Review, PTO-948.  isapproved disapproved.  abjected to by the Examiner.  er.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). as of the priority documents have been  umber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of the specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prioricy All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. Treceived in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Note that the received in this national stage application from the received copies not received:	awing Review, PTO-948.  isapproved disapproved.  abjected to by the Examiner.  er.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). as of the priority documents have been  umber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of the specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prioricy All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. Treceived in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Note that the received in this national stage application from the received copies not received:	awing Review, PTO-948 isapproved disapproved.  objected to by the Examiner.  er.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). as of the priority documents have been  umber) e International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No.

Seriäl Number: 08/916,106 Page 2

Art Unit: 2856

1. The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 2856.

- 2. The preliminary amendment filed August 21, 1997 has been entered
- The numbering of claims is not accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 35-50 been renumbered as claims 35-49. Any new additional claim presentation should begin with claim 50. In line 1 of renumbered claims 36-37 and 39-49 the base claim number from which the claim depends has been reduced by one, e.g. in the claim renumbered as claim 36, in line thereof "claim 36" has been replaced with -- -- -- claim 35 -- --

- 4. The abstract amendment has been entered. Applicant's representation is reminded that bracketing out deletion and underlining additions should be used only when amending claims. 37 CFR 1.121.
- 5. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 24-37, drawn to an arrangement for determining penetration depth when putting in place supporting elements into a waterbed, classified in class 73, subclass 84.

Serial Number: 08/916,106 Page 3

Art Unit: 2856

II. Claims 38-49, drawn to a method for determining a penetration depth when putting in place supporting elements into a water bed, classified in class 73, subclass 84.

6. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions Group 2 and Group 1 are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as use in determining penetration depth after an initial placement without any determination whether the penetration depth is sufficient, etc. It might be presumed sufficient from prior knowledge.

- Pecause these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group 1 is not required for Group 2, and vice-versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. A telephone call was made to Mr. Michael J. Striker on January 12, 1999 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 2856

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom Noland whose telephone number is (703) 305-4765.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7382.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

Thomas P. Noland Primary Examiner Art Unit 2856

Thom new

Noland/dc January 13, 1999